WASHINGTON, D.C.—Oct. 6—A planned anti-war march was dropped and official involvement in a 1968 Dump-Johnson campaign was halted after OEO, an agency of the U.S. government, threatened to cut off funds to NSA, a leading anti-war organization.

Schwartz, the SOUTH END account continued, admitted that OEO had indicated its displeasure at the march, but said NSA couldn't participate in a move to replace Johnson in 1968 because it is tax-exempt as a non-profit, apolitical organization.

Meanwhile, in New York, NSA "will be working covertly, under the table, and quietly on the dump-Johnson effort, now called ACT '68, according to ACT co-founder Clinton Dexer.

NSA-CIA-OEO-ETC.
BS has said that it is committed to working to block US involvement in a sixth or seventh Vietnam. This is the realization that the 1967 political movement is part of a global pattern of containing revolutionary change in the Third World. It means, to the extent we can, a consciousness of this basic fact and to understand how US imperialism operates in the Third World.

Why does the US fear revolution in the Third World? How is the US going to stop or destroy revolutions in the Third World? Where can you still try to destroy the Cuban revolution? What are the realities? What are the complex questions we all know they are basic to an understanding of current reality. It is this understanding that we believe we have had thus far in the Dominican Republic in April 1965 and has continued to manipulate the Dominican situation in the advantage of US economic, political and military interests in the Caribbean.

Letters to NLN

October 9, 1967

Tollers, Student-tollers, Black-tollers, Intellectual-tollers, and malcontents:

We have on unspeakable authority (CBS, the New Left) the news that the presently observable in our American Youth reaches its impetus and inspiration for student nonviolent direct action. We believe that this is news for the intellects, but from the American experience, please note that we are being co-opted into the American patriotism (cynically by the very pinnacles of American capitalism, yet), we can't help but feel a little uneasy about the acquiescence of the student’s General Headquarters at 2422 N. Halsted, Chicago. We would appreciate any help you can give us in getting the whole ball rolling for the general classes. Organizations interested in stating their positions via a speaker should advise the Committee before conference sessions are scheduled at the address below as early as possible. Position papers would also be welcomed. The organization plans to have only two points on the agenda, so, unlike brother Sacco, we are not going to steal the show. The General Headquarters will determine prior billing as the TV cameras and cameras might get in the way of our bodies and minds. Rest assured they will be there, however; come and puncture their pretensions.

We are presently planning the conference at our General Headquarters at 2422 N. Halsted, Chicago. We would appreciate hearing as soon as possible from those interested in participating. In order to attend, one must secure the meeting's possibility of a larger hall, refreshments, diners (for which payment will be made by the organizations), the time, and the final meeting of the General Headquarters will be devoted to more social pursuits, including, probably, food. If you have any addresses to which you think this call should be sent please forward them. Further mailings concerning exact hours, other details, etc. will be forthcoming.

solidarity

Solidaridad

Solidarity

For the OBU.....
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LETTERS TO NLN

October 16, 1967

let the people decide

1. condemns every form of US intervention in Bolivia (economic, political, military etc.) and supports the Bolivian people's struggle for their own liberation

2. demands the release of all political prisoners in Bolivia

3. urges thorough research of US penetration in Latin America with the goal of building a consciousness in this country of how US power operates at home and abroad

MORE NC RESOLUTIONS NEXT WEEK
Seriousness in the Student Movement
IN RESPONSE TO CARL DAVIDSON
Leif Johnson

I am answering Carl Davidson's article calling for seriousness in the student movement (NLN 9/25/67). No doubt seriousness is a good thing. But the nonsense that was written in an appeal for seriousness I think deserves comment.

What is the point of this gross exaggeration? Is your case so weak that you have to resort to this? I don't think so. Of ... to engage in sophomoric dramas about counter-insurgency. If you are advocating that anarchy is our proper goal, that's fine. But I would like to see some reasons, since it isn't something that would be much more useful to everyone to criticize this tactic than to engage in sophomoric dramas about counter-insurgency.

Students learn about their class and about the whole of society much as others...
Not: This statement has been prepared by people active in draft resistance in Seattle, Washington. It was read and adopted at a conference on draft resistance held at Reed College, Portland, Ore., on October 1, 1967. It is the position of those who sign it, not of any organized group. Comment is invited.

Draft resistance must expand its own front, support the Black Liberation Movement, build strong ties with revolutionaries in other countries, and encourage the opening of new fronts by others and in the end there is really no doubt we will win!

When these things take place, the aggressor, the U.S. Power Machine, far from running the world, becomes a besieged fortress. Within that fortress, it becomes possible to open up even more fronts, 3, 4, and 5 more.

If these are the true facts, then the strategy has to be to expand and develop this second front as widely and as militantly as possible. It is only common sense to unite with those outside the country and fight the same aggressor. It is only common sense to unite with and support the Black rebels who have created the first front against the common enemy inside the country.

These are the international facts of life which must be taken into account when considering strategy.

The Black Liberation Movement forms the first such front against the common imperialist enemy inside the United States and the growing strength of the uprisings in the Black ghettos is just a foretaste of what is to come.

We must realize that the nature of the movement and its strategic effect is determined primarily not by the hopes and dreams of the-segal free freemasonry, but by the very real and concrete conditions which created the need for draft resistance, Julius Lester of SNCC reporting on the OLAS conference in Havana (in the same issue of The Movement) puts it in these terms:

"It is crystal clear to us that we are fighting an international struggle that enslaves us all. The only way we can be free is to internationalize our struggle so that you'll have an international power fighting an international power. That is the way we can win, because if we can do that, to create two, three, many Vietnams, we shall have fighting on all fronts at the same time and they cannot win. When we isolate the struggle they cannot control all their power on one country, and once they do that, that country is lost.

"But they cannot fight all of us at the same time. So that even if we do not have the same goals, even if we do not have the same political ideology, we have the same common enemy."